


Our Case Number: ABP-318802-24

Planning Authority Reference Number:



**An
Coimisiún
Pleanála**

Yvonne & David Gregory
Avoca
O'Regan's Field
Myrtleville
Co. Cork

Date: 19 November 2025

Re: Proposed development of a resource recovery centre (including waste-to-energy facility)
in Ringaskiddy, County Cork.

Dear Sir / Madam,

An Coimisiún Pleanála has received your recent submission in relation to the above mentioned proposed development and will take it into consideration in its determination of the matter. Please accept this letter as a receipt for the fee of €50 that you have paid.

The Commission will revert to you in due course with regard to the matter.

Please be advised that copies of all submissions / observations received in relation to the application will be made available for public inspection at the offices of the local authority and at the offices of An Coimisiún Pleanála when they have been processed by the Commission.

More detailed information in relation to strategic infrastructure development can be viewed on the Commission's website: www.pleanala.ie.

If you have any queries in the meantime please contact the undersigned officer of the Commission. Please quote the above mentioned An Coimisiún Pleanála reference number in any correspondence or telephone contact with the Commission.

Yours faithfully,

Kevin McGettigan

Kevin McGettigan
Executive Officer
Direct Line: 01-8737263

PA04

Teil	Tel	(01) 858 8100
Glaó Áitiúil	LoCall	1890 275 175
Facs	Fax	(01) 872 2684
Láithreán Gréasáin	Website	www.pleanala.ie
Ríomhphost	Email	communications@pleanala.ie

64 Sráid Maoilbhríde	64 Marlborough Street
Baile Átha Cliath 1	Dublin 1
D01 V902	D01 V902

TO: An Coimisiun Pleanála
64 Marlborough Street
Dublin 1, D01 V902

OBSERVATION ON SID APPLICATION - Case reference: PA04.318802, Ringaskiddy Co Cork
Proposed development of a resource recovery centre (including waste-to-energy facility)
by Indaver NV t/a Indaver Ireland

OBSERVER NAME MUJINE B DAVID GREGORY DATE 14/11/2025
OBSERVER ADDRESS "MAGA", RIBSEANS FIELD, BALLINACRA,
MYRTLEVILLE, CO. CORK

OBSERVATION DETAILS

Notwithstanding the information submitted in August 2025, the site is fundamentally too small for the project proposed and continues to reduce in size, with coastal erosion on one side and boundary reduced by M28 on the other. It is considered that the actual usable area of the site is inadequate in relation to the scale of development proposed. (Derek Daly, 2017).

By all 3 Bord Pleanála Inspectors, the EIS was found to be deficient in substance even where found legally adequate in form. The information as submitted to the Board is therefore insufficient to enable the Board to carry out an environmental impact assessment in an appropriate manner, and to form a basis for an informed decision on the application. (Daly, 2017). Despite revisions, the updated EIS material continues to repeat earlier conclusions and provide assertions without evidence.

There is no de novo site selection in the material submitted in 2025, but instead a justification based on site ownership by Indaver, with inadequate consideration given to major public and private investment initiatives which have transformed the character of the immediate area in the intervening period since 2000. (Daly 2017)

The site is located on a known flood risk area, marked as same in Table 4.1.17: Specific Development Objectives for Ringaskiddy, and on OPW floodinfo.ie, (Flood Summary ID-1364, 13082, 12085). Mitigation measures to locate the facility at levels significantly above projected flooding levels would exacerbate the negative visual impact of the proposed large structure. It is my considered opinion that the site is inherently unsuitable for location of a use which processes, and generates hazardous compounds. (Oznu Yukel Finn, 2009)

Notwithstanding the zoning of the greater Ringaskiddy area as industrial, the Indaver site area where the incinerator build is proposed (RY-I-09) is zoned as suitable for the extension of the Third Level Educational campus and enterprise related development including marine related education, enterprise, research and development. (RY-I-09, Table 4.1.17: Specific Development Objectives for Ringaskiddy, Cork County Development Plan 2022 - 28) This is dismissed in the August 2025 information but it is of critical importance that this zoning be upheld as it is directly linked to the investment in the NMCI and MaREI Campus areas and the potential for future growth of this sector. The proposed incinerator is therefore in direct contravention of the County Development Plan and contrary to the specified objectives for the immediate area.

Please refuse this planning application on the basis that the site is inherently unsuitable, concluded by all 3 Bord Pleanála Inspectors (Jones 2004, Yukel Finn 2009, Daly 2017) and the proposal contravenes the zoning of the Cork County Development Plan 2022 - 28 for this site.

I wish to request an Oral Hearing to continue full public participation in this application.

I enclose fee of €50

I have already submitted in 2016 so have paid prior fee

Additional pages attached (no of)

Continuation sheet. Case reference: PA04.318802

Additional Observations

1. Cork harbour is the 2nd largest natural harbour in the world after Sydney. It is an area of incredible natural beauty.
2. Since 2016 the area comprising the Harbour and the surrounding area has been considerably developed as a tourist area and is included as such in Council policy. There are a number of beaches used throughout the summer into the winter by locals, those from Cork city and the many visitors to the area thereby assisting the local economy. Several cruise ships make stops in Ringaskiddy and Cobh from May to October each week. Passengers are not going to be impressed by the vision of the proposed Incinerator and supporting buildings blotting the landscape.
3. Considerable investment has been made in Spike Island and Camden which are now major tourist attractions in the area. Aside from the history of the sites themselves part of the attraction is their beautiful location and the views of the harbour area from those locations.
4. Haulbowline Naval base and the Maritime College is an educational establishment of some size. The location of an incinerator in such close proximity to them is not acceptable and could not have been in consideration in the development of the educational facilities.
5. In recent years the coastline has eroded and continues to erode in the current climatic change and indeed has affected the proposed site.
6. All in all the establishment of an incinerator in the proposed location is even more unacceptable than on the previous occasion. It will be an unacceptable blot on the landscape.